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Abstract: A software application is related to the processes it supports. Today, UML diagrams esp. use case diagrams and activity diagrams are often used to model the relevant aspects of the processes within the analysis phase. In the design phase the models are manually mapped to the business layer of the software application. In the context of Service-oriented Architectures (SOA) Programming in the Large takes a different approach: Business processes are described in a programming language, i.e. a process language which can be automatically mapped to an execution language and executed by a process engine. In this article we show how Programming in the Large can be practically applied in a software engineering process. We use the Business Process Model Notation (BPMN) as process programming language. A BPMN description can be mapped to the Business Process Execution Language (BPEL) which is a widely accepted standard to compose Web services.

1 Introduction

Service-oriented Architectures (SOA) will form the basis of future information systems, with all the required functionality being provided by loosely coupled Web services (i.e., core Web services). These core Web services are then being assembled to composite Web services in order to directly support business processes. For software developers the challenge will no longer be the coding of the required functionality but the orchestration of already existing Web services to executable business processes [AH+03]. This approach has commonly been referred to in relevant literature as “Programming in the Large” whereas the development of core Web Services is known as “Programming in the Small” [Le03].

This paper presents a top-down approach for Programming in the Large in SOA. Beginning with a concrete business process taken from the field of higher education it is demonstrated how a high-level process definition has to be refined in order to be automatically mapped to an executable process definition based on BPEL4WS [BPEL1.1]. For the modelling of both, the high-level and the refined process definitions, the Business Process Modelling Notation (BPMN) [BPMN1.0] [Wh04] is used, which was specifically designed to support an automatic mapping to BPEL.
2 Refinement of High-Level BPMN Process Definitions

The BPMN pursues two major objectives: Firstly, the notation should be easy to understand for every role participating in the development process (business analysts, software developer etc.) and secondly it should reduce the gap between the business process design being focused on in the analysis phase and the process implementation being looked at in the design and implementation phase. This is ensured by setting up on a mathematically based, internal model that enables the mapping between BPMN’s graphical elements to the underlying constructs of (XML-based) executable business process languages like BPEL.

The business process, which is modelled in the upper section of Figure 1, is taken from the higher education area, for example a university. The business case concerns a student who needs consultation, for example after having failed an exam. In the Business Process Diagram (BPD) the two participants are modelled in two pools with their own internal sequence flow. At the right side of the upper diagram all those activities are grouped that the business analyst decided should be supported by computer systems. Such high-level process models are typically created during the analysis phase and can yet not be mapped to an executable process definition. Therefore, most of the activities need to be further refined by means of more detailed BPDs, which corresponds to the design phase.

In general, the following distinction between activities can be made: Activities that are “Service Tasks” provide some sort of service which can be automated and therefore mapped to BPEL. “User Tasks” on the other hand contain activities that have to be performed manually by a user. Then a mapping is not or only partially possible.
In the following we concentrate on the Service Task activity “Get ToR”, which is carried out after the decision gateway where the process determined that further information is needed by the student counsellor for in-depth assistance. Thereby, a so-called Transcript of Records (ToR) is created by the supporting system and returned to the requestor. A Transcript of Records is a standardized aggregation of a student’s achieved results at a university. The activity “Get ToR” is marked with a [+] that holds the information that there exists a decomposed view on this activity.

The bottom part of Figure 1 shows the refined process definition for the high-level activity “Get ToR”. All activities in this diagram represent Service Tasks. Hence, the whole BPD can be mapped to a BPEL process definition. Thereby, the four activities executed within a parallel (AND) fork and join (Figure 1, top right) are directly mapped to Web service operation calls. These Web services may be implemented in Java, for example.

3 Mapping of the refined Process Definition to BPEL

This chapter demonstrates how to map the refined BPMN process “Get ToR” depicted in Figure 1 to an executable BPEL process under the precondition of maximizing the automatically executable parts. This can be either done using software tools like, for instance, the System Architect by Popkin Software [OR03] but can as well be done manually using the in-depth described mapping rules in [BPMN1.0]. For the latter approach a graphical BPEL design tool like the Oracle BPEL Designer can be employed in order to minimize effort.

Unfortunately, both approaches imply some further challenges. The generated code contains almost no information about the WSDL of the orchestrated Web services. If a Web service for a particular activity already exists this work can be done tool-supported by a BPEL design tool, because the WSDL documents hold all additionally needed information.

If there is no existing Web service to support the accordant activity, the procedure slightly differs. If so, the required core Web services have to be designed and implemented manually. Thereby, a well-refined BPMN process definition can be used to derive the necessary Web service descriptions. As a first step, these deduced WSDL documents can be orchestrated in a BPEL process without an underlying Web service implementation. As a second step, existing tools like WSDL2Java as part of the Apache Axis Framework [AXIS], which allow an automatic generation of skeleton code, can be employed. This skeleton code then needs to be enriched with the actual business logic. However, the implementation of the business logic as core Web services is part of the Programming in the Small. As the emphasis in this paper is placed on the Programming in the Large, it is assumed, that the required core Web services along with their corresponding WSDL documents are already available.

When applying this approach to our exemplary “Get ToR” process modelled in Chapter 2 the resulting BPEL code looks as follows:
Figure 2: BPEL excerpt of the generated ToR Process

Note that the displayed code is only an excerpt. Most of the attributes, variables and assignments as well as the complete error handling are omitted for better readability.

Within the generated BPEL code the “invoke” elements are used to call external Web services by using their WSDL specifications. In this case every external service corresponds with activities modelled in Figure 1. The “switch” tag maps the gateway element of BPMN to BPEL. A “sequence” is sequential action while a “flow” correlates to the fork object of BPMN. Tags can include many attributes which mostly can be automatically derived from the Web service description (WSDL) of the participating Web services.

BPEL process definitions generated in this way can by executed by so-called BPEL-Engines. Thereby the core Web services used by the BPEL process have to be deployed in advance. The BPEL process can only be executed if the WSDL documents for all involved Web service are available. Examples for existing BPEL engines are Active-BPEL [ABP] by ActiveEndpoints and the Oracle BPEL Process Manager [OBPM].

All engines have in common that the deployment of the BPEL process requires further engine-specific additions like for instance deployment descriptors.

4 Outlook

It is important to notice that Programming in the Large as illustrated in this paper, is the next step in the evolution of software engineering. However, it does not replace but perpetuates the existing approaches of programming. Existing approaches do not adequately support the first phase of software engineering where business processes of the future user of the software system have to be analyzed. Programming in the Large fills this gap by providing executable process descriptions which are based on service-
oriented components, typically Web services.

To ensure a more efficient mapping between the BPMN diagrams and the executable BPEL code the tools have to be improved. Although this kind of programming is still in a very early stage, the high potential of this evolutionary step in software engineering is obvious. Standards, such as BPMN and BPEL, are available to apply this concept to practical software problems as we have demonstrated in the paper.
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